



The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity TEEB for Agriculture & Food Global Symposium, February 2019

TEEBAgriFood framework: Case of cocoa and coffee agroforestry value chains in Ghana and Ethiopia

Priscilla Wainaina (World Agroforestry)

27th February 2019, Nairobi

Supported by:



Federal Ministry
for the Environment, Nature Conservation
and Nuclear Safety



The Economics
of Ecosystems
& Biodiversity



teebweb.org

based on a decision of the German Bundestag

Image credit: Anup Deodar

Lessons learnt and policy recommendations

Lessons and policy recommendations -Coffee value chain in Ethiopia

- Invisible costs and benefits within ecosystem services should also be considered while making policies.
 - They are rarely accounted for while determining the profitability of agroforestry systems and in policy decisions.
 - Some of the invisible benefits within agroforestry ; other provisioning services, carbon storage, pollination services, maintaining biodiversity, soil erosion control, water regulation and treatment, improving soil fertility, nutrient cycling and so on.
- Certification premiums should be promoted so as to make agroforestry coffee production systems more profitable.
 - In Ethiopia, shaded coffee farmers certified under rainforest alliance get higher returns compared to garden coffee farmers.
 - This is attributable to the certification premium- for example the rain forest Alliance certification standard pays shaded coffee farmers a premium of about 21% the price of regular coffee.

Lessons and policy recommendations -Coffee value chain in Ethiopia

- Coffee processing waste from wet coffee processing in Ethiopia is a major environmental (water pollution and greenhouse gas emissions) and health cost among the people near these industries. Also results in significant loss of aquatic life.
- The coffee processing waste problem can be resolved either through:
 - Generating bio-ethanol from the waste (profitable venture)- this has not yet been adopted in Ethiopia's coffee processing industries.
 - Treating the waste water before releasing the water into the water bodies- similarly this rarely happens within these coffee processing industries.

Lessons learnt and policy recommendations-Cocoa in Ghana

- Certification premium paid to agroforestry cocoa farmers is less making AF cocoa less profitable compared to full sun and high tech cocoa system.
 - This has resulted in a decline in the proportion of shaded cocoa over the last decade.
- Need to sensitize consumers on the ecological and environmental benefits from shaded cocoa to increase their willingness to pay shaded cocoa farmers a higher premium.
- Proportion of children still involved in child labour during cocoa production in Ghana is still high.
 - Although all certification schemes prohibit child labour, no single label can guarantee that the chocolate was made without the use of exploitive child labour.
 - There is need for the Government of Ghana to strengthen the enforcement of existing child labour laws and the international labour organization (ILO) regulations on child labour.

Lessons learnt and policy recommendations-Cocoa in Ghana

- There are massive imbalances in the global cocoa value chain.
 - Cocoa and chocolate companies and retailers take up the bulk of the share-35% and 42%, respectively (most of them are located in Europe)- Ghana's farmers (producers) take up only 6.6%.
 - Encouraging more cocoa processing locally may help increase the share of benefits accruing to Ghana.
- Pesticide and fertilizer use in cocoa farming is attributable to environmental and health costs among the farmers.
 - Most of the health effects are felt by the farmers during pesticides application- Need to promote use of protective gear while applying these pesticides.
 - Also pesticides residues in soils and water bodies is of concern- there is need to regulate the type of pesticides cocoa farmers in Ghana apply.
 - Traces of pesticides in cocoa beans are however negligible
- Cocoa processing contributes more to degradation of soil through the acidification process (from pollutants released in the air).

Limitation of the study and the possible research gaps

- Data limitations since we used benefit transfer method- particularly on monetary valuation for some ecosystem services and some services along the value chain. We had to use proxies as approximation of the monetary value due to data limitations.
- *Possible research gaps*
 - Estimating the health costs- we used proxies for the cost estimates. There is need for a detailed study to be able to fully capture health costs associated with coffee processing waste and pesticide use in cocoa farms.
 - Need for a detailed study on the cost of water pollution from coffee processing waste in Ethiopia.
 - Currently we used proxies (cost of treating water) as the cost of water pollution but this may not fully capture all the costs associated with water pollution.

Thank you all!